For Utility/Design CIP/PCT National Original/Substitute/ Supplemental Declarations

# Rule 53(b) (37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)) COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket No.: 02-91

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:								
IMPROVED INHAL	ATION METHOD AN	ID APPARATUS						
the specification of which is attache was filed		<b>))</b> :	as U.S. Appln. No.					
<del>-</del>	as PCT International Appli			on November 20, 2003				
_			14					
acknowledge the duty to	reviewed and understand in disclose all information kno	own to me to be material	e identified specification, including al to patentability as defined in 37	g the claims, as ame / C.F.R. § 1.56.	nded by any amendment i	referred to ab	ove. I	
I hereby claim foreign pri foreign application for pa	iority benefits under 35 U.S itent or inventor's certificate	.C. 119/365 of any for filed by me or my assi	eign application(s) for patent or it gnee disclosing the subject matter before the filing date of this appl	nventor's certificate in this app				
Prior Foreign Application	on(s)	Filed	Date First Laid Open	Dated Patente	ed or	Priority Cl	aimed	
Number(s)	Country	(MM/DD/YY)	or Published	Granted		Yes	No	
WO 2004/045689 A1	WO	11/20/03	06/03/04	N/A		X		
0227105.4	GB	11/20/02	05/26/04	01/26/05				
I hereby claim the benefit	under Title 35, United Sta	tes Code, § 119(e) of a	ny United States provisional appl	ication(s) listed belo	w.			
Number(s)		Filing Date (MM/DD/YY)						
			The state (Minister Co.)					
above or below and, if thi	is is a continuation-in-part (	CIP) application, inso	5 of the indicated United States a far as the subject matter disclosed	and claimed in this	application is in addition	to that disclo	sed in	
such prior applications, I	acknowledge the duty to di	sclose all information l	known to me to be material to pat T international filing date of this	entability as defined	in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 which	h became ava	ailable	
Application Number		Filing Date (MM/DD/YY)		Status (patented, pending, abandoned)				
these statements were ma	de with the knowledge that	willful false statements	rue and that all statements made and the like so made are punished pardize the validity of the applica	able by fine or impris	sonment, or both, under S			
And I hereby appoint the Michael W. Haas, Reg.	following attorneys to prose No. 35,174 and Timothy N	cute this application a lathan, Reg. No. 44,25	nd to transact all business in the I	Patent and Trademar	k Office connected herew	rith:		
Address all	correspondence to Customo	er Number.	30031					
(1) Inventor's Signature:				Date:	4/09/05			
Full Name: Jonathan S.H. Denyer				Citizenship: U	United Kingdom			
Residence: City: Chichester State: West Sussex Country: United Kingdom								
Post Office Addres	s: Sunnyside, West Way	, West Broyle, Chiches	ster, West Sussex, United Kingdo	m PO19 3PW				
(2) Inventor's Signature:				Date: 14/09/05				
Full Name: Ivan R. Prince				Citizenship: United Kingdom				
Resideno	e: City: Chichester		State: West Sussex	Country: United Kingdom				
Post Office Addres	s: 101 Westgate, Chiche	ster, West Sussex, Uni	ted Kingdom PO19 3HB					

## PATENT AND TRADEMARK CASES - RULES OF PRACTICE 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) & (b): DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

(a)...Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability...(b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refers, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability

#### **PATENT LAWS**

### 35 USC §102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months\* before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in
  - (1) an application for patent published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
  - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a); or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) (1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 of section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or
  - (2) before such person's invention thereof, invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it.
  - In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

#### 35 USC §103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person

#### 35 USC § 112. Specification (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctively claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2 RI-116-3 Laws (Rev. 12/02)

<sup>\*</sup> Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).